

Online Multiple Instance Learning Applied to Hand Detection in a Humanoid Robot

> Carlo Ciliberto Robotics, Brain and Cognitive Sciences, IIT Machine Learning Day June 8th, 2010



Overview

- o Why the hand?
- Multiple Instance Learning
- Online Boosting
- Online Multiple Instance Learning
- Experiments
- Conclusions



Overview

o Why the hand?

- Multiple Instance Learning
- Online Boosting
- Online Multiple Instance Learning
- Experiments
- Conclusions



Necessary to Exploration

Exploration is the best way for an autonomous agent to obtain information regarding the surrounding environment.

In order to interact with the environment, a robotic system needs to recognize the means through which, such interaction is happening.





For a humanoid robot this is the case of its hands.



Unique Object

The hand is a very unique object to learn. The poses in which it appears to the robot are strongly connected to the current **joint** and **motor states**.

Visual motion detection and **motor** information can be combined to roughly infer whether the robot's hand is present in the Field of View.



Even if inaccurate, this expedient can be exploited to apply supervised learning techniques in a less supervised way



Online Learning

Changes in an unstructured environment are unpredictable.

In order to keep a robust representation of the world, an autonomous agent must be able to **integrate** previous knowledge with new data as it arrives.

We are after an online framework able to constantly keep track of the robotic hand's structure even when it is subject to changes in appearance. (e.g. illumination, rotation).



Overview

o Why the hand?

- Multiple Instance Learning
- Online Boosting
- Online Multiple Instance Learning
- Experiments
- Conclusions





• In the MIL framework, examples are seen as **bags of instances**.



- In the MIL framework, examples are seen as **bags of instances**.
- A bag is positive if it contains at least one positive instance.



- In the MIL framework, examples are seen as **bags of instances**.
- A bag is positive if it contains at least one positive instance.
- The individual label of an instance is not known. Only the label of the entire bag.



- In the MIL framework, examples are seen as bags of instances.
- A bag is positive if it contains at least one positive instance
- The individual label of an instance is not known. Only the label of the entire bag





- In the MIL framework, examples are seen as bags of instances.
- A bag is positive if it contains at least one positive instance
- The individual label of an instance is not known. Only the label of the entire bag



Negative instancePositive instance



- In the MIL framework, examples are seen as **bags of instances**.
- A bag is positive if it contains at least one positive instance
- The individual label of an instance is not known. Only the label of the entire bag





- In the MIL framework, examples are seen as **bags of instances**.
- A bag is positive if it contains at least one positive instance
- The individual label of an instance is not known. Only the label of the entire bag



Goal: Correctly classify the bags without knowing exactly which instances were responsible for the positive/negative label of the bag.





Boosting is a class of techniques employed in data classification.



Boosting is a class of techniques employed in data classification.



Weak Learner: binary function that classifies data samples as positive or negative.



Boosting is a class of techniques employed in data classification.



Weak Learner: binary function that classifies data samples as positive or negative.



Weights are associated to weak learners according to their accuracy over a given training set.



Boosting is a class of techniques employed in data classification.



Weak Learner: binary function that classifies data samples as positive or negative.



Weights are associated to weak learners according to their accuracy over a given training set.



Weak learners are combined to obtain an accurate strong classifier.



























































$$h \oplus \cdots \oplus h \oplus \cdots \oplus h$$



MIL & Boosting: MIL balls



MIL & Boosting: MIL balls

A bag is a collection of instances in the feature space.



Auer et al. 2004


A bag is a collection of instances in the feature space.

We define a MIL weak learner as a ball centered on a point in the feature space.





A bag is a collection of instances in the feature space.

We define a MIL weak learner as a ball centered on a point in the feature space.





A bag is a collection of instances in the feature space.

We define a MIL weak learner as a ball centered on a point in the feature space.

A ball classifies positively only the bags that it intersects.





A bag is a collection of instances in the feature space.

We define a MIL weak learner as a ball centered on a point in the feature space.

A ball classifies positively only the bags that it intersects.





A bag is a collection of instances in the feature space.

We define a MIL weak learner as a ball centered on a point in the feature space.

A ball classifies positively only the bags that it intersects.





Overview

• Why the hand?

- Multiple Instance Learning
- Online Boosting
- Online Multiple Instance Learning
- Experiments
- Conclusions



Initialization.

- Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, ..., h_N\}$ be a set of weak classifiers with Learning Principle L.
- Set $\lambda_n^w = \lambda_n^c = 0 \ \forall n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$

Training.

At each iteration step t a novel sample I_t is presented to the system:

- Set the importance weight of the sample to $\lambda = 1$.
- For $n \in \{1,...,N\}$ do:
 - 1. update $h_n \leftarrow L(h_n, I_t, \lambda)$
 - 2. if h_n correctly classifies I_t :

$$-\lambda_n^c \leftarrow \lambda_n^c + \lambda \; ; \; \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w} \; ; \; \lambda \leftarrow \lambda \frac{1}{2(1-\epsilon_n)}$$

else:

$$- \ \lambda_n^w \leftarrow \lambda_n^w + \lambda \ ; \ \ \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w} \ ; \ \ \lambda \leftarrow \lambda \frac{1}{2\epsilon_n}$$

3. Define the relevance weight of the n-th weak learner as $\alpha_n = \log\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_n}\right)$

• end.

Strong Classifier.

After every learning iteration, the score assigned by the strong classifier to a bag $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is:

$$S(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \cdot h_n(I) .$$

Initialization.

- Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, ..., h_N\}$ be a set of weak classifiers with Learning Principle L.
- Set $\lambda_n^w = \lambda_n^c = 0 \ \forall n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$

Training.

At each iteration step t a novel sample I_t is presented to the system:

- Set the importance weight of the sample to $\lambda = 1$.
- For $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ do:
 - 1. update $h_n \leftarrow L(h_n, I_t, \lambda)$
 - 2. if h_n correctly classifies I_t :

$$-\lambda_n^c \leftarrow \lambda_n^c + \lambda; \ \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w}; \ \lambda \leftarrow \lambda \frac{1}{2(1-\epsilon_n)}$$

else:

$$- \ \lambda_n^w \leftarrow \lambda_n^w + \lambda \ ; \ \ \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w} \ ; \ \ \lambda \leftarrow \lambda_{\frac{1}{2\epsilon_n}}$$

3. Define the relevance weight of the n-th weak learner as $\alpha_n = \log\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_n}\right)$

• end.

Strong Classifier.

After every learning iteration, the score assigned by the strong classifier to a bag $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is:

$$S(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \cdot h_n(I) .$$

Initialization.

- Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, ..., h_N\}$ be a set of weak classifiers with Learning Principle L.
- Set $\lambda_n^w = \lambda_n^c = 0 \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$

Training.

At each iteration step t a novel sample I_t is presented to the system:

- Set the importance weight of the sample to $\lambda = 1$.
- For $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ do:
 - 1. update $h_n \leftarrow L(h_n, I_t, \lambda)$
 - 2. if h_n correctly classifies I_t :

$$-\lambda_n^c \leftarrow \lambda_n^c + \lambda; \ \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w}; \ \lambda \leftarrow \lambda \frac{1}{2(1-\epsilon_n)}$$

else:

$$- \ \lambda_n^w \leftarrow \lambda_n^w + \lambda \ ; \ \ \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w} \ ; \ \ \lambda \leftarrow \lambda_{\frac{1}{2\epsilon_n}}$$

3. Define the relevance weight of the n-th weak learner as $\alpha_n = \log\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_n}\right)$

• end.

Strong Classifier.

After every learning iteration, the score assigned by the strong classifier to a bag $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is:

3.7

$$S(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \cdot h_n(I) .$$

Initialization.

- Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, ..., h_N\}$ be a set of weak classifiers with Learning Principle L.
- Set $\lambda_n^w = \lambda_n^c = 0 \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$

Training.

At each iteration step t a novel sample I_t is presented to the system:

- Set the importance weight of the sample to $\lambda = 1$.
- For $n \in \{1,...,N\}$ do:
 - 1. update $h_n \leftarrow L(h_n, I_t, \lambda)$
 - 2. if h_n correctly classifies I_t :

$$-\lambda_n^c \leftarrow \lambda_n^c + \lambda ; \ \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w} ; \ \lambda \leftarrow \lambda \frac{1}{2(1-\epsilon_n)}$$

else:

$$-\lambda_n^w \leftarrow \lambda_n^w + \lambda \; ; \; \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w} \; ; \; \lambda \leftarrow \lambda_{\frac{1}{2\epsilon_n}}$$

3. Define the relevance weight of the n-th weak learner as $\alpha_n = \log\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_n}\right)$

• end.

Strong Classifier.

After every learning iteration, the score assigned by the strong classifier to a bag $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is:

3.7

$$S(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \cdot h_n(I) .$$

Initialization.

- Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, ..., h_N\}$ be a set of weak classifiers with Learning Principle L.
- Set $\lambda_n^w = \lambda_n^c = 0 \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$

Training.

At each iteration step t a novel sample I_t is presented to the system:

- Set the importance weight of the sample to $\lambda = 1$.
- For $n \in \{1,...,N\}$ do:
 - 1. update $h_n \leftarrow L(h_n, I_t, \lambda)$
 - 2. if h_n correctly classifies I_t :

$$-\lambda_n^c \leftarrow \lambda_n^c + \lambda \; ; \; \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w} \; ; \; \lambda \leftarrow \lambda \frac{1}{2(1-\epsilon_n)}$$

else:

$$- \ \lambda_n^w \leftarrow \lambda_n^w + \lambda \ ; \ \ \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w} \ ; \ \ \lambda \leftarrow \lambda_{\frac{1}{2\epsilon_n}}$$

3. Define the relevance weight of the n-th weak learner as $\alpha_n = \log\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_n}\right)$

• end.

Strong Classifier.

After every learning iteration, the score assigned by the strong classifier to a bag $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is:

3.7

$$S(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \cdot h_n(I) .$$

Initialization.

- Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, ..., h_N\}$ be a set of weak classifiers with Learning Principle L.
- Set $\lambda_n^w = \lambda_n^c = 0 \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$

Training.

At each iteration step t a novel sample I_t is presented to the system:

- Set the importance weight of the sample to $\lambda = 1$.
- For $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ do:
 - 1. update $h_n \leftarrow L(h_n, I_t, \lambda)$
 - 2. if h_n correctly classifies I_t :

$$-\lambda_n^c \leftarrow \lambda_n^c + \lambda; \ \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w}; \ \lambda \leftarrow \lambda \frac{1}{2(1-\epsilon_n)}$$

else:

$$- \lambda_n^w \leftarrow \lambda_n^w + \lambda \; ; \; \; \epsilon_n = \frac{\lambda_n^w}{\lambda_n^c + \lambda_n^w} \; ; \; \; \lambda \leftarrow \lambda_{\frac{1}{2\epsilon_n}}$$

3. Define the relevance weight of the n-th weak learner as $\alpha_n = \log\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_n}\right)$

• end.

Strong Classifier.

After every learning iteration, the score assigned by the strong classifier to a bag $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is:

$$S(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \cdot h_n(I) \,.$$



Overview

- Why the hand?
- Multiple Instance Learning
- Online Boosting
- Online Multiple Instance Learning
- Experiments
- Conclusions





An online MIL weak learner is a MIL ball with variable radius.



An online MIL weak learner is a MIL ball with variable radius.





An online MIL weak learner is a MIL ball with variable radius.

Learning principle: whenever a novel training sample is provided to the system, the radius of the weak learner is updated in order to keep its accuracy optimized.





An online MIL weak learner is a MIL ball with variable radius.

Learning principle: whenever a novel training sample is provided to the system, the radius of the weak learner is updated in order to keep its accuracy optimized.







In an online framework, useful instances can arise from any bag in any moment.



In an online framework, useful instances can arise from any bag in any moment. Example: the object of interest rotates and shows previously hidden features.



In an online framework, useful instances can arise from any bag in any moment. Example: the object of interest rotates and shows previously hidden features.





In an online framework, useful instances can arise from any bag in any moment. Example: the object of interest rotates and shows previously hidden features.





In an online framework, useful instances can arise from any bag in any moment. Example: the object of interest rotates and shows previously hidden features.



On the other hand, the online boosting algorithm requires all the weak learners to be determined a priori. It is not possible to extract new ones from the data.





A selector is a meta-weak learner associated to a fixed pool of other weak classifiers.



A selector is a meta-weak learner associated to a fixed pool of other weak classifiers.





A selector is a meta-weak learner associated to a fixed pool of other weak classifiers.



Decision Criteria: The selector classify a bag, according to the weak classifier in its pool that has exhibited the best accuracy so far.



A selector is a meta-weak learner associated to a fixed pool of other weak classifiers.



Decision Criteria: The selector classify a bag, according to the weak classifier in its pool that has exhibited the best accuracy so far.



Learning principle:



Learning principle: When a novel training sample is presented to the selector, each of the weak learners in its pool is trained accordingly.



Grabner et al. 2006



Learning principle: When a novel training sample is presented to the selector, each of the weak learners in its pool is trained accordingly.

The weak learner with lowest error rate is selected.



Grabner et al. 2006



Learning principle: When a novel training sample is presented to the selector, each of the weak learners in its pool is trained accordingly.

The weak learner with lowest error rate is selected.

The weak learner with worst classification performance is substituted with a new one.



Grabner et al. 2006



Main Framework

- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.



Main Framework

- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.


- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.





- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.





- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.





- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.





- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.





- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.





- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.





- New weak learners extraction.
- Online boosting of selectors.





Overview

- Why the hand?
- Multiple Instance Learning
- Online Boosting
- Online Multiple Instance Learning
- Experiments
- Conclusions



Different experimental conditions:



Different experimental conditions:

• Background: Uniform Vs Cluttered.







Different experimental conditions:

• Background: Uniform Vs Cluttered.





• Labeling: Manual Vs Automatic.



Different experimental conditions:

• Background: Uniform Vs Cluttered.





- Labeling: Manual Vs Automatic.
- Order: Natural Vs Shuffled.



Hand Detection: learning over time





Hand Detection: statistics

Dataset	Labelling	Order	EER Online	EER Offline
uniform	Manual	Natural	$(9.0 \pm 0.7)\%$	7.3%
uniform	Manual	Shuffled	(7.4±2.5)%	7.3%
cluttered	Manual	Natural	(13.0±1.9)%	7.5%
cluttered	Manual	Shuffled	(12±1)%	7.5%
cluttered	Auto	Natural	(18±2)%	9.9%
cluttered	Auto	Shuffled	(19±5)%	9.9%



Hand Detection: ROC curves





Overview

- Why the hand?
- Multiple Instance Learning
- Online Boosting
- Online Multiple Instance Learning
- Experiments
- Conclusions



Conclusions

- Multiple Instance Learning needs only weak supervision over data in order to be trained (e.g. positive or negative label over entire samples).
- The MIL paradigm allows to deal with **inaccurate** and possibly **noisy** training data (e.g. coarse labeling of images).
- In our framework learning is performed online in order to adapt to potential changes in the scene (e.g. illumination or orientation).
- Experiments were conducted on a real problem and under quite hard conditions (e.g. cluttered background). Nevertheless online performances remained comparable to those of batch algorithms.



Selectors have originally been conceived to perform feature selection.



Selectors have originally been conceived to perform feature selection.

Feature selection could be exploited to perform hand localization:



Selectors have originally been conceived to perform feature selection.

Feature selection could be exploited to perform hand localization:

The positive classifying weak learners within the selector pools can be plotted on the current image.



Selectors have originally been conceived to perform feature selection.

Feature selection could be exploited to perform hand localization:

The positive classifying weak learners within the selector pools can be plotted on the current image.

In theory they should all be on the object.



Selectors have originally been conceived to perform feature selection.

Feature selection could be exploited to perform hand localization:

The positive classifying weak learners within the selector pools can be plotted on the current image.

In theory they should all be on the object.





Thanks:

- Fabrizio Smeraldi
- Lorenzo Natale
- Giorgio Metta



Thank you!